

Mounted Archery Association of South Africa

Minutes of Meeting



---

**DATUM**                    **Friday 22 November 2019, 18:30**  
**Kings & Prophets Venue, Klipkop, Pretoria**

**PRESENT**

<b>NAME</b>	<b>CONTACT NUMMER</b>	<b>E-MAIL ADDRESS</b>
Deirdre Janse van Rensburg	0833471143	dretjie19@gmail.com
Daniel Griffin	0729334524	gangsgiffin@gmail.com
Jaco Jacobs	0823381725	jaco@africangrain.co.za
Maretha Kruger	0823243936	Maretha77@gmail.com
Diane Horn	0845498119	diane.horn@outlook.com
Amali Raschke	0825344967	amali.phoenix@gmail.com
Abdullah Safodien	0746266365	saifudienarabians@gmail.com
Marna Wium	0713343709	marnawium04@gmail.com
Stephan Wium	0825783879	stephan.wium@unigro.co.za
Gert Horn	082727007	ghorn@komatsuna.com
Callie Kruger	0729864244	equilibriumtrading29@gmail.com
Christa Botha	0827714497	bothawil@absamail.co.za
Banie Smit	0825254675	smitbanie@gmail.com
Cobus Pelzer	0828570276	pelzerc@gmail.com
Deon Janse van Rensburg	0827893913	deonvanrensburg@yahoo.com

## MINUTES

NO	AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION	TASK/RESPONSIBILITY	DUE DATE
	<b>Meeting Formalities</b>			
1	Opening & Welcome			
2	Confirmation and Notice of meeting			
3	Apologies	Vicky van Zyl & Johan Du Toit		
4	Approval of minutes of AGM held on 13 April 2019	Everyone happy to sign off		
<b>5</b>	<b>Finanance budget for 2020</b>			
	Budget proposal by Diane	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 budget scanarios FOR 2020 were presented (see budget document attached) with 2 main differences: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1. President Honorarium: budget 1 not allowing for president honorarium (MAASA is currently paying R1500 per month towards this)</li> <li>- 2. Training expences: not included in budget 2 as we can cover the costs out of the revenue from the Lipizzaner show</li> <li>- A vote was taken and 87% of the votes were in favour of budget scenario 2, which includes President Honorarium.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Note that the national budget do not make provision for income received from Gauteng qualifiers anymore as a Gauteng committee has been appointed and Gauteng will therefore have their own account for 2020</li> <li>• A multi-tiered membership structure was suggested with different rates for different types of members. The following was decided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Junior membership fee R400</li> <li>- Senior Membership fee R450</li> <li>- Social members with the right to vote R200</li> <li>- Social members without voting right (except on behalf of their child), no charge</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Officials, no charge</li> <li>- 20% discount on membership fees for first time members</li> <li>- Non-competitors will only be allowed to participate in 2 qualifiers. If they wish to participate in more than 2 qualifiers, they need to become members.</li> <li>- Postal matches will be arranged by clubs and it will be open to anyone.</li> <li>- Developing the sport will remain our main objective!</li> <li>• Suggestion was made for a separate account (linked account) for the proceeds from the Lipizzaner show. The idea is to keep it separate from the running costs of MAASA and to use it for development.</li> <li>• Provincial levies of R35 will be paid to MAASA for each qualifier entry. Provinces need to budget for this when they decide on their entry fees.</li> <li>• There is also a possibility that R10 dope testing fee will have to be paid to SAEF for each entry, but this is not confirmed yet.</li> </ul>		
5.1	<p>Motions by members regarding Provincial Budget:</p> <p>a. Why has the Provisional budget not been sent to members for due consideration and feedback? The only documentation sent out was provisional financial statement, which is basically a list of transactions, and not a budget.</p>	<p>There has been some issues this year (meeting minutes not distributed to members after each meeting, financials sent out late etc) and therefore we as committee apologize. We can only commit to do our best in the year to come by sending these communications out in time and to be much more transparent as we promised to be in the beginning of the year.</p> <p>Jaco Jacobs resigned as Treasurer 3 weeks before OGM. Diane Horn has compiled the 2020 budget and as of this</p>		

		<p>afternoon she is appointed as interim Treasurer until our next election and AGM which will be held on 9 February 2020.</p> <p>b. Has this budget been submitted to MAASA Council for their input and recommendations? Yes</p> <p>c. What are the competition fees being used for? Most of our competitions have broken even. Gauteng made an average of R200 profit per competition. All other provinces ran at a loss.</p> <p>d. How has the budget been calculated? Our income for 2019 mainly came from membership fees, clinics (only a small portion) and from the Lipizzaner show. Expenses for 2019 was mainly covered by equipment (bows and arrows for clinics), marketing material (gazebo, teardrop banners and flyers), president honorarium and internet.</p> <p>e. How have member fees for 2020 been calculated? As discussed in point 5</p>		
5.2	<p>Motions by Members regarding Preliminary Financial Statement:</p> <p>a. Bank charges – why are the bank charges so high in some months, and what is the reasons for the fluctuations from month to month?</p>	<p>This has not been established yet. Immediate payments could be a possible factor.</p>	<p>Diane: to look into the matter</p> <p>Jaco: to upload bank statements into dropbox</p>	<p>Next Committee Meeting</p> <p>ASAP</p>

	<p>b. Competition costs need to be broken down into much more detail – how much does MAASA spend on venue hire vs medical vs medals etc.</p> <p>c. There should be a note to each expense to clarify – e.g. what equipment was purchased for R4260.00, what is meant by Training Costs etc. The preliminary financial statement should contain a separate section with Notes to the Annual Financial Statements, which is not provided in this document. Members cannot be expected to vote on figures without explanations.</p>	<p>Most of our competitions have broken even. Gauteng made an average of R200 profit per competition. All other provinces ran at a loss.</p> <p>Our greatest expense at competitions is the paramedic. According to SAEF we need to have a level 4 qualified paramedic on site.</p> <p>As discussed in point 5</p>		
<b>6.</b>	<b>Apointment of new Auditors</b>			
		MAASA has been in contact with IC Quantum (Montana) and it has been decided that they will be appointed as our auditors from this point forward.		
<b>7.</b>	<b>General (Motions brought forward by members in writing 30 days prior to meeting)</b>			
7.1	Gert Horn: Affiliation with IHAA/WHAF – how far are we in this process and how is it going to affect our National team’s chances of earning their Protea colours	IHAA is still not an official body. They are in the process. What we can do is to arrange a test match between 2 countries (SA and France who also has an official National team) via the country’s official bodies (in our case SAEF)		

		<p>and WHAFF.</p> <p>Currently our options for competition are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To invite the French team to South Africa</li> <li>- For SA team go to France in June</li> <li>- For SA team to go to the World cup in October in France</li> </ul> <p>Our first priority is to send an invitation to France.</p>	Deirdre to have meeting with oom Wessel in this regard	
7.2	Gert Horn: Sponsorships – promises were made to secure sponsorship for MAASA. I would like feedback on this topic including the status of the registration of MAASA as a PBO.	<p>We have had issues registering as a PBO, this will get urgent attention in 2020.</p> <p>We were promised of a sponsorship from Kazakhstan which didn't come through as they did not stick to their word.</p>		
7.3	Accountability of committee to members	The National committee had a serious discussion regarding commitment to their positions in our meeting prior to the OGM and an appeal was made to everyone to recommit for 2020		

8.	Rule changes & Motions to rule changes brought by members		
Rule	Current rule	Motion	Discussion/Decision
	<b><i>Suggested changes by National Committee (in red)</i></b>		
4.2.1	Any new horse has to be graded by a MAASA judge as “On Track” or “Off Track”. <b>This assessment will be based on the safety level and control that can be displayed when a rider is shooting bow &amp; arrow from the horse’s back with NO reign contact. The rider will also have to show that they can bring the horse back to a halt from a walk, trot, canter and gallop at any point during the track.</b> Should a rider wish to compete at a trot then this level of control should be shown in a trot etc. The horse is not allowed to break pace.		Change accepted
4.2.3	It is the responsibility of the rider to ensure that their horse is graded by a MAASA judge as “on” or “off” track before a MAASA organised event. An application must be filed with MAASA for a horse to be graded. Horse gradings will be done at scheduled practice days with a form to be completed by the official assessing the horse. <b>This form will have to be kept in the passport at all times.</b>		Change accepted  For future, we could consider keeping a record of each event the horse has completed with the passport like they do in endurance.
4.4	<b>4.4 Horse Substitution</b> <b>A competitor may enter a different horse to the one listed on the official entry form at the close of entries, if the following conditions are met:</b> <b>4.4.1 An official Horse Substitution form is completed fully and submitted to the presiding judge before the start of competition (See Appendix).</b> <b>4.4.2 The substituted horse has undergone an “on Track” safety assessment and has been declared safe to be on track.</b> <b>4.4.3 The substituted horse has proof of up to date inoculations in the form of a passport, which needs to be presented to the horse welfare officer / judge before start of competition</b> <b>4.4.4 The reasons for the substitution are deemed valid and fair by the presiding judge – substitutions are only allowed in the case of injury or ill health in the horse which was originally entered.</b> <b>4.4.5 Notice of substitution must be given no later than one</b>		Change accepted

	hour before the start of competition.		
9.10	<p>9.10.1.1 A horse may not be led onto the track by an assistant. It is permissible for a rider to dismount, lead his horse onto the track and remount before the start line.</p> <p>9.10.1.2 A rider must be able to demonstrate that he can stop the horse on the track at any given point if requested to do so by the judge.</p> <p>9.10.1.3 Controlled downward transitions are required at the end of the track (Gallop/canter/trot/walk/stop), failure to do so i.e. going from a gallop to a dead stop against the gate at the end of the track will be seen as loss of control.</p> <p>9.10.1.4 Should a horse display unsafe, uncontrolled behaviour at any point during a run, the judge may issue a warning to the rider.</p> <p>9.10.1.5 Should the horse continue to display unsafe behaviour; the judge may instruct the rider and horse to leave the track.</p> <p>9.10.1.6 The horse will then need to undergo a new “on track” assessment in order to be declared safe on track.</p>		<p>9.10.1.1 Add: “unless judge deems it so” after the first sentence</p> <p>9.10.1.2 After “any given point” add: “within 30m if requested to do so by the judge”</p> <p>9.10.1.3 Add: “The barrier at the end of the track should not be used to stop the horse”</p> <p>9.10.1.4 Change accepted</p> <p>9.10.1.5 Change accepted</p> <p>9.10.1.6 Change accepted</p>
19.2	<p>19.2.6.1 A round target with a diameter of 80cm, divided into 5 concentric circular zones with a diameter of 16cm, 32cm, 48cm, 64cm and 80cm. The target zones shall score, from inner to outer: 5pts, 4pts, 3pts, 2pts and 1pts respectively. (A standard FITA target)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>19.2.6.2 A square target 71cm to a side, divided into 5 evenly spaced concentric zones with a width of 14cm, 28cm, 42cm, 56cm and 71cm The zones score as for rule 9.2.6.1 above.</p>		Change accepted
24.2	<p>24.2.1 All runs must be completed in a canter.</p> <p>24.2.2 Track time for Korean on a 90m track is 16 seconds with 1 point penalty/bonus for each second over or under</p>		<p>Change accepted</p> <p>* In future we might have to</p>

	<p>track time.</p> <p>24.2.3 Bonus points are capped at 5 points for Korean disciplines.</p> <p>24.2.4 Track time <b>for a 6 run</b> Hungarian <b>on a 90m track</b> is 18 seconds with 1 point penalty/bonus for each second over or under track time or <b>part thereof</b>.</p> <p>24.2.5 No cap on bonus points for Hungarian.</p>		<p>comply to Kassai's rules regarding our current Hungarian track. Kassai will be in SA in February where we will have discussions with him.</p>
24.3	<p><b>24.3 Horse Archer Scoring</b></p> <p>24.3.1 All runs must be completed in a canter.</p> <p>24.3.2 Track time for Korean <b>on a 90m track</b> is 14 seconds with 1 point penalty/bonus for each second over or under track time.</p> <p>24.3.3 Bonus points are capped at 5 points for Korean disciplines.</p> <p>24.3.4 Track time <b>for a 6 run</b> Hungarian <b>on a 90m track</b> is 18 seconds. If the archer exceeds the track time then they will score 0 for that run, regardless of target scores attained.</p> <p>24.3.5 No cap on bonus points for Hungarian.</p>		<p>Change accepted</p> <p>* In fure we might have to comply to Kassai's rules regarding our current Hungarian track. Kassai will be in SA in February where we will have discussions with him.</p>
	<b>Motions by Banie</b>		
2.11	<p>In addition, individual events may call for further officials, including a Star Line Judge (Korean event) and judges to determine whether arrows passed within the start- and finish lines (Hungarian), these tasks may be done by the Head Judge or delegated as the Head Judge prefers. Extra officials may also be required for the Polish event etc.</p>	Spelling mistake - Star short t	Will be corrected
4.1.9	<p>ANY stallion older than 730 days that is competing in ANY MAASA event will need CEM clearance certification as per the memo issued by the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Proof of CEM certification will be required.</p>	Stallion older than 730 days is only 2 years old, in the racing industry that is acceptable, surely not in the other disciplines	Scratch rule as this has not been implemented by SAEF
4.5.10	Should significant problems arise during the competition	Chief Referee – In the heading Officials there is no	Motion granted

	(particularly if horses are being shared with another rider) there should be an allowance for discussion between rider, chief referee, competition organiser & horse owner for a reschooling run or a change of horse.	mention of a Chief referee but throughout the document they refer to a Chief Referee, wouldn't it be better to refer to Head judge as stated under Officials heading (I saw that in IHAA Rules they refer to Chief Referee)	
4.7.1	<b>Competition areas</b> Horses must be trained and compete on suitable and safe surfaces. All obstacles and competition conditions must be designed with the safety of the Horse in mind.	"Horse welfare" - "competitions conditions designed with the safety of the Horse in mind", shouldn't the rider be added – horse and rider in mind	Add: "and rider"
6.1.2	All riders to wear suitable closed shoes with heels. Tekkies are not allowed unless riding with stirrups with a closed cap in the front.	Tekkies - I think must be replaced with shoes with no heels	"tekkies" to be replaced with "closed shoes without heels"
6.3.3	No bows heavier than 40 pounds allowed on track.	Why? IHAA does not have a limit according to their rules	Scrap the rule
8.11	Arrows will be removed at the end of each group's run.	(Even though it may take longer to remove arrows after each competitors run, it leave space for less error between arrow puller and score keeper) Head judge must be able to make a call on how he/she prefer it to be done on the day and circumstances and it makes it easier for the Head judge to monitor	Change to: "Arrows will be removed from targets after each run. Additional arrows will be collected at the end of each group's run."
9.7	The chief judge may request that a competitor is examined by a medic if they have fallen. If there are concerns regarding concussion this should be mandatory. 9.7.1 If it is determined that the competitor must withdraw on health grounds, any runs already completed shall count towards results and rankings. 9.7.2 If the injury has resolved or the competitor is passed as fit to continue by the medic they may, at the discretion	The chief judge may request" – Head Judge, Chief Referee and Chief Judge – think we must stick to one throughout the document	Motion granted
9.10.1.1	A horse may not be led onto the track by an assistant. It is	And all this must happen within 60s, when he/she gets a green flag? If the horse is just a bit hesitant	Add: "unless judge deems it so" after the first sentence

	permissible for a rider to dismount, lead his horse onto the track and remount before the start line.	and with the help of an assistant it would be much quicker and safer to lead the horse onto the track – if the horse got a problem like my one horse and the one of Deidre, then the judge can make a call to disqualify the horse as to be unsafe	
11.7	Written appeals, accompanied with a fee of R150.00 cash must be submitted to the horse show secretary within 30 minutes after completion of the protested event.	Who is the horse show secretary at an event?	Change “horse show secretary” to “appointed official”
14.3	For the 9 run Hungarian-99, each competitor gets 3 warm up canters on the track (without/with shooting as they wish)	If not mistaken Hungarian 99 is only mentioned in this document at this bullet – again if I am not mistaken only Daniel and Jaco got this Hungarian track, run under Kassai rules, which is not applicable for MAASA events, does it need to be in the MAASA Rulebook as yet?	Inconclusive outcome
14.4, 14.5 & 14.6	14.4 For the 6 run Hungarian-90, each competitor gets 1 warm up canter on the track (without/with shooting as they wish) 14.5 Korean style: each competitor gets 1 warm up canter only (without/with), it is at the rider’s discretion whether to shoot at Korean 1, 2 or 3 for their warm-up run. 14.6 At least one of the warm-up runs should be timed and the time of the run communicated to the rider.	two different styles with only one warm up run each – shouldn’t both warm up runs be timed and communicated to the rider	14.6 Change to: “one warm-up run for each discipline”
	<b>Motions by Premier Club</b>		
	Rule book should start with Vision, Mission and General administration. Running straight into the competition does not give guidance to why MAASA exists. I suggest that the ERASA and Tent Pegging rules books are used as a guideline. a. The motion is that the current rule book flow is updated to include the following chapters: i. Vision and General regulations ii. Competitions iii. Competitors		This will be developed and included at the AGM

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>iv. Horses</li> <li>v. Ranking, Qualifying and Team selection.</li> <li>vi. Officials / Judges</li> <li>vii. Weapons and equipment</li> <li>viii. Disciplinary</li> <li>ix. Veterinary</li> <li>x. Treatment of horses</li> <li>xi. Recognition of awards</li> </ul>		
1.1		Competition flow: The motion is that the Competitions should start with a Hungarian. This is a slower discipline that will give the horses time to warm up, before faster disciplines and will result in less injuries in the long run.	Motion granted
1.4		Horse rider inspection. This should be a sperate chapter under horses and weapons and inspections.	To be rewritten and submitted for AGM
4.2		Fitness to compete. The motion is that the minimum age for a horse to compete shall be set at an age of 5 years. This will result in less injuries of horses, as the bone and ligament structure has settled. This will also ensure less incidents or accidents on the track where horses are not strong enough to carry the rider with speed.	Motion granted, point will be added
4.8.1	Veterinary expertise must always be at a minimum on standby at an event. If a horse is injured or exhausted during a competition, the athlete must stop competing and a veterinary evaluation must be performed. Injured horses must be given full supportive treatment before being transported.	Veterinary treatment. Motion is that this point is rewored. As it stands a VET needs to be present at every event. This is financially not viable.	Change “veterinary evaluation” to “horse welfare check”
4.8.2	The incidence of injuries sustained in Competition should be monitored. Ground surface conditions, frequency of competitions and any other risk factors should be examined carefully to indicate ways to minimize injuries.	Competition injuries. Motion is that compulsory rest periods should be introduced for horses that sustained injuries. This needs to be defined as the horse may not compete within a certain period of	Need to investigate and write up (see FEI guidelines) and present at AGM

		time depending on the type of injury.	
5.6	Alcoholic drinks are strictly prohibited for the duration of the competition. No alcohol is to be consumed by competitors, officials or spectators until the closing of the competition day has officially been announced.	Motion to reword this point. It is not possible on a social sports event to tell spectators that they may not consume alcohol.	Not allowed at SANESA events. Diane to double check
6.1.1	Safety helmets (must comply to SAEF safety standards) are compulsory for riders of ALL ages at all times during the competition day. This includes in the warm up area and applies to people wanting to give friends a “ride” on their horse. Any one seen sitting on a horse without the correct helmet on will be eliminated (Red Card). In the event a non-competitor is found on a horse without a helmet on, the competitor of said horse will be eliminated from the competition (Red Card).	Safety Helmets. Motion is that the required standard for an approved safety helmet with chin strap needs to be defined in this point. As it stands a dress up helmet can be used.	Already covered
6.5.2	Quivers must be attached to the rider, they may not be attached to the horse, its tack or to the bow.	Motion is to reword this section to remove “may not be attached to the horse”. Separate point to define quivers for hand held arrow disciplines may be attached to the horse like the Hungarian, or Polish style, for holding back up arrows	Motion denied
6.5.4	Arrows may not be carried in the boot.	Motion is to define this point. All disciplines that require to draw arrows from a quiver must be done from a quiver that is attached to the riders body. Drawing from the sash or boot is not permitted.	Drawing from a sash is allowed, but not from boot
6.6.12	No Crops are allowed.	Motion is to allow crops to aid and train new and young horses. Crops may not be used to beat or speed up horses.	Add: “on track”
7.1.4	All poles planted along the track must not be higher than 1m to avoid interference with the bow.	Motion is to add: This does not include the Qabaq poles.	Inconclusive
8.4	Arrows that bounce off or pass through the target shall score zero and do not count as “hits” for the purpose of bonus points.	Motion is that an arrow that passes through a target needs to be allowed a re-run or a score equivalent to where the arrow struck the target. a. Target needs inspection and	Motion granted

		<p>replacement as the centre could be heavily perforated.</p> <p>b. Re-run can be awarded if the point of entry is debatable.</p>	
8.9	<p>It is recommended, but not mandatory, that if an arrow is close to or just touching a line (i.e. it is a close decision as to which zone to score it in), the target judge should obtain a second opinion before announcing the score. If it cannot be determined whether an arrow touches the line or not the higher score is given.</p>	<p>Motion is that if it cannot be determined if the arrow touches the line the lower score shall be awarded. Not the higher score as is currently indicated.</p>	<p>Motion denied</p>
9.3 & 9.5		<p>The same point was repeated. Motion is to move this point to the Polish track rules and layout</p>	<p>Motion granted</p>
9.10	<p>Point 9.10.1.1 to 9.10.1.6</p>	<p>New suggested changes has been added to the rule book. Motion is that a set structure needs to be followed to amend rules and that motions need to be brought to change rules. These changes also needs to be added to the current OGM Agenda.</p>	<p>Already discussed</p>
12	<p>12.1 If a competitor's equipment fails then they shall be permitted to replace it. This includes, but is not limited to, the bow coming unstrung, breaking of bows, bowstrings, other archery equipment or riding tack. In such circumstances the competitor shall be permitted a reasonable time to replace the equipment. The Chief Referee shall determine how long is reasonable.</p> <p>12.2 A competitor's failure to enter the track for their run shall not count as a refusal during the time allowed by the chief referee for the replacement of failed equipment.</p> <p>12.3 A competitor shall not be permitted a rerun solely on the grounds that their equipment failed during a run.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Equipment failure. Motion is that a set time needs to be given to rectify failed equipment.</li> <li>2. Second motion on this point: If equipment failure occurs, no re-run will be permitted. It is the rider's responsibility to ensure the serviceability of their personal equipment.</li> </ol>	<p>Motion denied – up to judges discription</p>
13		<p>Competitors Meeting: Motion to update and reword this point. The following should be added to the list of any pre competition meeting or briefing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Introduction to the officials</li> </ol>	<p>To be implemented at AGM</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b. Starting times</li> <li>c. Sequence of events</li> <li>d. Program</li> <li>e. Rider groups</li> <li>f. Rules Disciplines</li> </ul>	
18	<p>18.1 Junior: any age up to and including a junior's matric year (0-18 years)</p> <p>18.2 Matriculated and older. (18 -100)</p> <p>18.3 In major championships only the junior and senior age categories will be recognised.</p> <p>18.4 A competitor may choose to move up one age category (i.e. from junior to senior). They must advise their national governing body by email or in writing.</p> <p>18.5 If a competitor moves up an age category they may not move back down to their original age category that year.</p>	<p>Age of riders: Motion is to define the ages of competitors: Point 18.4 says a competitor may choose to move up an age category but only one age is sort of defined.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Child riders is from which age to which age?</li> <li>b. Junior riders is from which age to which age?</li> <li>c. Senior riders is from which age to which age?</li> <li>d. Veteran riders is from which age to which age?</li> </ul>	Motion denied. Not enough riders for more categories
19.8		Motion is to remove the 180 meter is the Korean track and rather define safety distances required for each track	Subject to further discussion
20	The Hungarian Event (90m No Zones)	Motion is to remove the word "No zones" This is confusing with the target zones below.	Motion granted
20.1.7	The zone prior to the start and after the finish is 5m long – i.e. marking out a 100m track. Arrows shot within this zone that hit the target will have an angle of shooting such that they pass within the poles as required. The start and end of the 100m zone should also be clearly marked with a pole.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Motion is to define the purpose of the 100meter zone. This will clear up when an archer may start and stop shooting on the Hungarian target.</li> <li>2. Motion 21.1. A competitor may start shooting and stop shooting at this point of the 100meter zone.</li> <li>3. Motion21.2. The 100 meter post should be high enough to restrict the archer not to start or stop shooting past this point.</li> </ul>	Motion denied
20.2.7	Any arrows loosed before the competitor passes the start line or after the competitor passes the finish line only score if: a. they were loosed while the horse was at canter or gallop; AND b. the arrow passes between the start and finish poles.	Motion is to define this distance on when start shooting and stop shooting may occur will be resolved with the 100 meter zones in motion 21	To be discussed at next committee meeting

21.1.4 & 21.1.5	21.1.4 The pole is at least 7m high. 21.1.5 On top of the pole is a circular target. If the pole is 7-9m high then the target should be no bigger than 30cm (1ft) in diameter. If the pole is higher than 9m then the target should be no bigger than 50cm (20in) in diameter.	Motion is to define the length of the Qabaq pole. 1. The pole shall either be 7 meters with a 30 cm diameter target or 9 meters with a 50 cm target. 2. The pole length and disk sizes shall be confirmed by the judges during the track approval.	To be rewritten and submitted at AGM
21.3.1	A hit must be on the target. Hits on the pole do not count (if the arrow hits the pole and then the target, then it is a hit).	4. Motion is to define the hit. 1. A hit is where the arrow hits the disk and deflects downwards with a clear banging sound of the disk. 2. Hitting the side of the disk and the arrow brushing past with no clear bang will not be considered a hit. 3. Split the current points under 21.3.1	Motion granted but remove "deflects downwards"
21.4		Motion is to remove the 120 meter and 150 meter single Qabac tracks or set ups as this is rarely used.	Motion denied. In time we would like to cover all disciplines in our rulebook
21.5.1	Other targets (other than qabaq) may be shot on the same run. No allowance is made for this. Over any length track and with any number of targets the requirement is for a hit on the qabaq target within the allotted time.	Motion is to define the other targets that may be set up with the qabac.	AGM
22		Motion is that the polish track distance shall be no less than 500 meters with no less than 10 targets.	Motion denied – a track must be no less than 300m and it has to be approved by a panel of judges
25.1.3	Where a national governing body adopts the grading system, that body shall become the Awarding Body for that country. Where two or more bodies claim to be the national governing body, priority shall be given to any such body that is affiliated	Motion is to consider other bodies like the IHAA as most WHAF countries do not have official national teams. This system also only looks at the Korean style and is not interested in developing and or	Motion denied

	to the World Horseback Archery Federation. In this case MAASA is the Awarding body for MAASA gradings. IHAA (International Horseback Archery Alliance) is the awarding body for IHAA gradings.	adopting other disciplines or styles. Other styles and disciplines is essential for the development of the sport as novice riders, does not get discourage by not hitting any targets.	
28.5	To qualify for national colours the average level that has to be attained across the three competitions is HA3 level for seniors and HA2 level for juniors.	Motion is to change the required Junior level to HA3 and no longer HA2.	Motion denied
30	<p>30.1 The invitations will first be extended to the existing Protea Team.</p> <p>30.2 Depending on the number of invitations extended per country, top achieving Seniors and Juniors from the Protea team will be given the opportunity to attend the competition.</p> <p>30.3 Total scores from each rider's top two qualifiers plus nationals (for the year in which they qualified for national colours) will be used to determine achievement.</p>	<p>Motion is to make all overseas competition invitations known to all MAASA members once this has been received.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Motion 30.1 Protea team members shall have 7 days to respond in writing if they can attend the competition.</li> <li>• Motion 30.2 If spaces are still available MAASA will make this know after the 7 day period. Members that would like to attend needs to request in writing within 7 days.</li> <li>• Motion 30.3 A selection committee will be set up to assist with teams election for the particular event of the Protea team or members is not available.</li> </ul>	<p>This would be ideal, but we don't often receive invites and international competition dates are not readily available. IHAA calander is not up to date.</p> <p>Change "Protea team" to "eligible riders based on ranking"</p> <p>To be rewritten and approved at AGM</p>
31.4	Adequate feedback regarding the competition, horses and scores need to be presented to MAASA upon the return from the competition.	Motion is that MAASA should provide a format for official feedback on international competition.	Can be developed in future.

<b>9.</b>	<b>Meeting Dates 2020</b>			
	AGM	Confirmed for Sunday 9 February after Kassai visit and Kings & Prophets competition on 8 Feb.		
<b>10.</b>	<b>Closing</b>			

Greetings



.....  
Deirdre Janse v Rensburg (Chairman)

Signed at Pretoria on 30th day of June 2020.